

Combining imprecise Bayesian and maximum likelihood estimation for reliability growth models

Lev Utkin, Svetlana Zatenko, Frank Coolen

July 2009

What are reliability growth models?

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a series of random variables,

$$X_i \sim p_i(x \mid \mathbf{b}, f(i, \mathbf{d})) \quad \text{or} \quad X_i \sim F_i(x \mid \mathbf{b}, f(i, \mathbf{d})) \quad (\text{CDF}).$$

- \mathbf{b} are parameters of a probability distribution under consideration.
- \mathbf{d} are parameters characterizing the growth, i.e., the growth is modelled by a function $f(i, \mathbf{d})$.

By using realization $\mathbf{K} = (k_1, \dots, k_n)$ of (X_1, \dots, X_n) , we have to find \mathbf{b} , \mathbf{d} and probability measures of X_{n+1} under assumption of f and p_j .

Examples and standard methods for computing parameters

- Well-known models: Jelinski-Moranda, Schick-Wolverton model, Littlewood-Verrall, etc. (every model differs by a type of the lifetime probability distribution p and the growth function f).
- Regression models: $Y = f(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{d}) + \epsilon$, $\mathbf{b} = (0, \sigma^2)$.
- A standard way for computing \mathbf{b} , \mathbf{d} is maximization of the likelihood function

$$L(\mathbf{K} | \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d}) = \prod_{i=1}^n p_i(k_i | \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d}) \rightarrow \max_{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d}}$$

A sketch of the main idea of new imprecise growth models (1)

1. Every X_i is governed by an unknown CDF belonging to a set $\mathcal{M}_i(\mathbf{d})$ defined by **lower and upper CDFs**:

$$\underline{F}_i(k | \mathbf{d}) = \inf_{F(k) \in \mathcal{M}_i(\mathbf{d})} F(k), \quad \bar{F}_i(k | \mathbf{d}) = \sup_{F(k) \in \mathcal{M}_i(\mathbf{d})} F(k).$$

- 2 The likelihood function $L(\mathbf{K} | \mathbf{d}, F)$ is maximized over all distributions F from $\mathcal{M}_i(\mathbf{d})$ and the resulting “modified” likelihood function depends on \mathbf{d} :

$$L(\mathbf{K} | \mathbf{d}) = \max_{F \in \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbf{d}), \dots, F \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbf{d})} L(\mathbf{K} | \mathbf{d}, F).$$

Step 2 in the sketch

Proposition

Suppose that discrete random variables X_1, \dots, X_n are governed by a probability distribution $F(k)$ from sets \mathcal{M}_i defined by bounds $\underline{F}_i(k)$ and $\overline{F}_i(k)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, respectively. If X_1, \dots, X_n are independent, then there holds

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\mathcal{M}_1, \dots, \mathcal{M}_n} L(\mathbf{K} \mid \mathbf{d}) &= \max_{\mathcal{M}_1, \dots, \mathcal{M}_n} \Pr \{X_1 = k_1, \dots, X_n = k_n\} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \{\overline{F}_i(k_i) - \underline{F}_i(k_i - 1)\}. \end{aligned}$$

A sketch of the main idea of new imprecise growth models (2)

3. The lower and upper CDFs for $\mathcal{M}_i(\mathbf{d})$ are constructed by means of **an imprecise Bayesian model with cautious parameter** s or parameters s_1, s_2 (Walley 1996, Quaeghebeur and de Cooman 2005) conditioned on the growth parameter \mathbf{d} and the “growth” function $f(i, \mathbf{d})$.
4. The growth parameter \mathbf{d} is determined by maximizing the “modified” likelihood function $L(\mathbf{K} \mid \mathbf{d})$ over all values of \mathbf{d} :

$$\tilde{L}(\mathbf{K} \mid \mathbf{d}, s) = \prod_{i=1}^n \{\bar{F}_i(k_i \mid \mathbf{d}, s) - \underline{F}_i(k_i - 1 \mid \mathbf{d}, s)\} \rightarrow \max_{\mathbf{d}}$$

A software run reliability growth model with the imprecise beta-geometric model

- The likelihood for X_i is the geometric distribution $(1 - r_i)^{k-1} r_i$ with the parameter r_i .
- The prior distribution for the parameter λ_i is the $\text{Beta}(\alpha, \beta + f(i, d))$ distribution.
- The predictive CDF for X_i is the beta-geometric distribution.
- The imprecise model: $\alpha = s\gamma, \beta = s - s\gamma, \gamma \in [0, 1]$.
- The “modified” likelihood function is

$$\tilde{L}(\mathbf{K} \mid d, s) = \prod_{i=1}^n (\bar{F}_i(k_i \mid d, s) - \underline{F}_i(k_i - 1 \mid d, s)) \rightarrow \max_d.$$

A software reliability growth model with the imprecise negative binomial model

- The likelihood for X_i is the Poisson distribution with the parameter λ_i .
- The prior distribution for the parameter λ_i is the Gamma distribution $\text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$.
- The predictive CDF for X_i is the negative binomial distribution.
- The imprecise model: (α, β) in the triangle $(0, 0)$, $(s_1, 0)$, $(0, s_2)$ (**two caution parameters**).

Regression model

Given n observations $(y_1, \mathbf{x}_1), \dots, (y_n, \mathbf{x}_n)$. How to find $\mathbf{d} = (d_0, \dots, d_m)^T$ in

$$Y = d_0 + \sum_{j=1}^m d_j X_j + \epsilon ?$$

- the noise $\epsilon \sim p(z | \sigma)$
- the prior conjugate distribution for the parameter σ is $\pi(\sigma | \mathbf{c})$
- the predictive CDF $F_n(z | s, \gamma) = F(z | s, \gamma)$
- Parameters \mathbf{d} are computed by maximizing

$$\tilde{L}(\mathbf{Z} | s) = \prod_{i=1}^n (\overline{F}(y_i - \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{d} | s) - \underline{F}(y_i - \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{d} - 1 | s)) \longrightarrow \max_{\mathbf{d}}.$$

Here $\mathbf{Z} = (y_1 - \mathbf{x}_1 \mathbf{d}, \dots, y_n - \mathbf{x}_n \mathbf{d})$.

Conclusion

- The proposed imprecise growth models based on combining Bayesian inference and maximum likelihood estimation have many interesting and important properties.
- They can be regarded as a tool or framework for constructing various new models.
- Numerical experiments with the models have shown that they provide better and more cautious predictions in comparison with the well-known growth models when the number of observations is small.
- When the number of observations is large, the quality of prediction does not differ from the known models.

Questions

?